School		Astrea A	cademy Dea	rne	Academic	Year	2020/21			otal nu upils	umbe	r of	1131
Total PP budget			18/19	19/20	20/21	2020	numbers	7	8	9	10	11	Total
					£473,860	PP m	nale	44	44	51	49	50	238
Number of pupils eligible for PP C			Oct '18	Oct '19	Oct '20	PP fe	emale	50	54	48	57	44	253
			551	555	529	FSM	male	43	33	43	35	36	190
						FSM	female	59	45	32	45	33	214
Number of pupils eligible for FSM Oct '18 Oct '19				Oct '20	Date of most recent PP review 20/3/19					20/3/19			
298 340			404	Date for next review of this strategy 2021 / 2				2021 / 2					
			Astrea A	cademy Dear	ne 2020 – 2021	delivery	plan explic	cit link	S				
1.9	Identify Y7 cohort	to impleme	ent high impac	t literacy strateg	ies to rapidly impr	ove readi	ing ages to at	least a	ige ex	pected	standa	ards to	identified cohort
1.10	Implement and mo			ge organisers as	a five-year revision	on plan to	reduce learn	ing gap	s and	increa	se pro	gress	and attainment
1.15	Raise disadvanta	ged attainm	nent (currently	4.3) while closir	ng the progress ga	p (curren	tly 0.43) to w	ithin 0.	3.				
1.17	Raise the attainm	ent of boys	in English (pp	HPA) to -0.25 (currently -0.34).								
2.1	Ensure attendance	e is at leas	t 94.51% and	ensure PA does	not exceed 13.69	1%.							
2.2	Ensure the % of s	tudents red	ceiving 1+FTE	s does not exce	ed 4.93%.								
2.5	Develop a culture through a new rev	•	•	'good' choices a	re consistently ce	lebrated b	oy children, p	arents	and sta	aff			
4.5		through a new rewards system. Measure the impact of rewards on increased attendance, progress and attainment, adapting approaches to ensure maximum success.											

2. Current attainment								
	Pupils eli	Pupils eligible for PP (Astrea Academy Dearne)			Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)			
	2018	2019	2020 (CAG)	2018	2019	2020 (CAG)		
Progress 8	-0.24	-0.20	0.00		PP -0.45, NonPP 0.13			
Ebacc entry	6/85	20/84	16/77		PP 26%, NonPP 43%			
Attainment 8	36.7	41.1	43.2		PP 36.7, NonPP 50.1			
Percentage of Grade 5+ in English and maths	25.9%	35.7%	39%		PP 24.7%. NonPP 49.9%			

3. Priority Areas of Focus (in response to identified barriers for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)

School based areas of focus

- Issues/barriers to be addressed, such as poor oral language skills, stated as a positive outcome e.g. improved oral language skills.
- Please indicate if this is a longer term priority (eg. up to 3 years) by inserting review timescale in final column

	Desired Outcome	Success Criteria	Delivery plan links	SLT Strategic Enabler	Timeframe of Priority Area
A.	Improve the overall progress figure of	Progress gap between PP and 'Other' narrowed.	1.10	LHS	One year strategic priority
	disadvantaged students by increasing the attainment of PP students.	Attainment gap between PP	1.11	LHS	
	attainment of FF students.	and 'Other' narrowed. Basics 4+, 5+ and 7+ narrowed	1.15	ASD	
			1.17	ASD	
B.	Improve reading, writing and oracy skills of disadvantaged students	Reading age of PP and 'Other' gap is narrowed and average reading age is maintained or further improved.	1.9	JHN	Three year strategic priority
C.	Improve student wellbeing, school experiences and reward students for academic success	Disadvantaged students to have more experiences and events in school and out of school. More students are well resourced for each school day to be engaged and succeed.	2.2	DCY	Three year strategic priority
		This will be measured by an increase in attendance and a reduction of FTE for PP pupils. Increased number of PP pupils receiving awards in school.	2.5	ВНҮ	
D.	Improve the annual attendance figure of disadvantaged students and continue to lower persistent absence	Attendance gap between PP and 'Other' narrows	2.1	DCY	One year strategic priority

4. Planned expenditure Academic 2020/ 2021 - £473,680 £450,500 – accounted for within this plan

Academic year

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well eg. monitoring planned?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A	Knowledge organisers used as a tool for home work and low stakes quizzing in school. £2000	Meta-cognition and self-regulation approaches have consistently high levels of impact, with pupils making an average of eight months' additional progress. The evidence indicates that teaching these strategies can be particularly effective for low achieving and older pupils. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/meta-cognition-and-self-regulation/ This coupled with homework (+5 months progress gains) will enable pupils to become leaders of their own learning.	Termly feedback during SIP action plan feedback schedule Leadership Appraisal	LHS	Termly Annually

В	Form time reading £5000	This approach has been found to make a positive impact on reading https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1292&context=reading_horizons https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/reading-comprehension-strategies/ Research shows that on average, reading comprehension approaches improve learning by an additional five months' progress over the course of a school year. These approaches appear to be particularly effective for older readers (aged 8 or above). Successful reading comprehension approaches carefully select activities for pupils according to their reading capabilities, and ensure that texts provide an effective, but not overwhelming, challenge.	QA carried out by SLT during form time and HOYs to continue daily monitoring of the form time programme Leadership Appraisal	VCY / VGE/ HOY	Termly as part of whole school QA Annually (3 yearly outcome)
A	Overstaff in key core areas Assistant head of English, Maths (x2) and Science £180,000 AHofC each completing MPQML with focus on disadvantaged attainment in subject.	Reducing class size is demonstrated by the EEF to show increased progress at https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/reducing-class-size/ In the research paper, School cultures and practices: supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils: A qualitative comparison of London and non-London schools— Dfe May 2018, Strong and Visionary Leadership (including the development of middle leaders) was found to be one of the 5 areas in which school culture and practices influence outcomes, in particular for disadvantaged pupils — corroborating existing literature (Baars et al., 2016; Small et al., 2017) https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-culture-and-practice-supporting-disadvantaged-pupils	Deep dives within faculties and regular reviews through line management. Data collection will show improvement in attainment of disadvantaged students narrowing the gap to their peers Leadership Appraisal	DBH / Middle leaders of maths, English and science	Faculty meetings / Line manager meetings and outcomes for students

A/B/C	Jenni Machin to share secondary school contacts where there are no gaps in pupil premium progress and attendance when compared to that of their peers. £31,000	NFER research identified through the 7 building blocks to supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils highlights the need for "clear responsive leadership" where staff are held to account and strategies are identified to drive improved outcomes for pupils: https://www.nfer.ac.uk/publications/PUPP02/ In the research paper, School cultures and practices: supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils: A qualitative comparison of London and non-London schools— Dfe May 2018, Strong and Visionary Leadership (including the development of middle leaders) was found to be one of the 5 areas in which school culture and practices influence outcomes, in particular for disadvantaged pupils — corroborating existing literature (Baars et al., 2016; Small et al., 2017) https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-culture-and-practice-supporting-disadvantaged-pupils	Astrea Central team feedback. Regular meetings with National Leader for Inclusion. List of schools identified as best practice for PP outcomes provided by National Leader for Inclusion. Termly report created by BHY of visits to best practice schools.	JMN / BHY	Impact on outcomes Termly meetings with National Leader for Inclusion
A	High proportion of PP students electing to study GCSE art. TLR within art to drive up progress of PP students. Second in Art – focus around PP uptake and developing arts participation £8000	The Education Endowment Foundation has researched the positive benefits of Art Participation - https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/arts-participation/	Data trawl to show increased participation in the Arts due to positive experiences within the arts. Leadership Appraisal	DBH / SBY / AHT	Termly

D	Attendance: Pastoral support team First day phone calls Be here weeks Attendance raffles during key weeks Education Welfare Support	Good attendance is also listed in the top 10 approaches for disadvantaged pupils in https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-pupil-premium-how-schools-are-spending-the-funding-successfully Attendance is seen as one of the 7 Building Blocks for Success when supporting the attainment of disadvantaged pupils - https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/473976/DFE-RS411_Supporting_the_attainment_of_disadvantaged_pupils_briefing_for_school_leaders.pdf	director for attendance and HOY Do	BHY / DCY/ HOY	School action plan feedback plan termly Annually
D	Rewards linked to parental engagement, Achievement and school attendance £9000	The Education Endowment Foundation has researched the positive benefits of improving parental engagement on learning https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/school-themes/parental-engagement/ Rewarding and praising students when they do something positive both inside and outside of the classroom will increase the attendance of all students	9	BHY / FT	School action plan feedback termly Annually
			Total budgeted	l cost	£434,000
			Proportion of Total Sp	pend	91%

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well eg. monitoring planned?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A	Intervention – Focusing on PP pupils in English, Maths and Science. One to one support offered for key pupils to increase attainment, narrowing PP gap £7000	In the EEF Attainment Gap 2017 report, it states that targeted small group and one-to-one interventions have the potential for the largest immediate impact on attainment https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/attainment-gap/ We want to provide extra support to maintain high attainment. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as Visible Learning by John Hattie and the EEF Toolkit. Intervention to happen beyond the traditional school day. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit Evidenced that extending the school times makes a positive impact on academic outcomes.	Termly feedback during SIP action plan feedback schedule Leadership Appraisal	ASD	Termly review using SIP action plan feedback. Annually
В	Sound training intervention £3500	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics/ Research shows that phonics approaches have been consistently found to be effective in supporting younger readers to master the basics of reading, with an average impact of an additional four months' progress. Research has shown that this training has the greater impact on the weakest and most reluctant pupils.	Line management of literacy lead. Targets set for improvements of cohort and impact feedback delivered at senior team meetings. Leadership Appraisal	JHN / LJN	After each intervention group. Annually (3 yearly outcome)
	•	·	Total budget	ed cost	£10,500
			Proportion of Total	Spend	2.2%

		. behaviour support, breakfast clubs, attendance initiativ	, o, oxua ourrouan ouppo.		
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well eg. monitoring planned?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
С	Hardship fund used to provide uniforms for disadvantaged students.	Money to be put aside to supply students with uniform needs	n/a	RWH	Annually (3 yearly outcome)
С	Mental Health support through targeted interventions using referrals from within the pastoral team.	This approach is in line with research that demonstrates that Social and Emotional Learning interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself, which produces +4 months of progress. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional-learning/	n/a	KDG	Annually (3 yearly outcome)
	£3000		Total budget	ed cost	£6,000
			Proportion of Total		1.2%

Previous Acad	emic Year and total amount	2019-2020, £478,720		
i. Quality of t	teaching for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improve the overall progress figure of disadvantaged students and half the progress gap to	Online learning resources such as 'class charts' and 'PiXL' Knowledge Organisers	It has not been possible to thoroughly evaluate all planned strategies due to COVID-19. However, where possible, a review has taken place and provision adapted to support our most disadvantaged pupils and their families.	These chosen approaches will continue to develop throughout 20/21. PiXL LORIC will become part of form time.	£5,375
their peers.	Intervention	There was an increase in the outcomes of PP pupils in 2020 CAG data as follows: Progress 8	Knowledge Organisers, including self- quizzing training to launch in September 2020.	£899
	Alternate Provision	From -0.20 in 2019 to 0.0 in 2020 This is compared to NonPP in 2020 at 0.13 - There are still further actions required to support our PP pupils and these interventions will further embed next year 5+ English and Maths From 35% in 2019 to 39% in 2020	Intervention and Alternative Provision to continue in 2021	£7,000 £9,000
ii. Targeted s	upport			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

Improve reading,	Form time reading	Many of the pupil premium chosen approaches are longer term and	Form time reading and Lexonik have	£1,424
writing and oracy		it is necessary and desirable for leaders to continue some of the	had an impact on the reading ages of	
skills of	MANACTO	planned 2019-20 actions into the 2020-21 academic year and	disadvantaged students. However the	£1,000
disadvantaged		beyond. This is in line with the EEF guidance on Putting Evidence	full impact is still not clear due to the	
students	Lexonik Sound training	to Work – A School's Guide to Implementation which states that	school closure in March. These	£3,570
		interventions need to be implemented effectively and over time,	interventions will continue to be used.	
		allowing for new activities to be embedded and evaluated to create	Allowing us to see greater impact in	
		sustainable positive outcomes for disadvantaged learners.	the future.	
		There was an increase in the outcomes of PP pupils in 2020 CAG data as follows:		
		5+ English and Maths		
		From 30% in 2019 to 39% in 2020		
		4+ English and Maths slightly increased from 53% in 2019 to 53,2%		
		in 2020		
		NonPP in 2020 (76.4%)		
		Lexonik sound training involved 35 pupils in year 7 who made an		
		average increase of their reading age by 33 months after a six week		
		intervention programme. This could only run during the first term of		
		the year.		
		There are still further actions required to support our PP pupils and		
		form time reading and Lexonik Sound training will continue and		
		embed next year.		
		, ,		

iii.

iv. Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	-	Did you meet the success act on pupils not eligible for	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Improve the annual attendance figure of disadvantaged students and continue to lower persistent absence	Pastoral Support Team Education Welfare Support Attendance Rewards Rewards	COVID pandemic) attendanchildren in PA was 21.6%. During Year 7 'Be Here Wees selected all came out of PA. came out of PA. but they were not able to go Attendance rewards run dur	pefore government closed schools due to ce was 92.5% and the percentage of ek' 17 of the 20 children who were a During the year 8 week 15 children ahead due to school closure. The percentage of the Christmas term to attendance and dropped from the year.	Many of these approaches will be in place for the coming year, in order to get more children into school and ensure they feel supported and celebrated a larger emphasis will be placed on rewards and recognition. This will have a greater impact on attendance.	£303,621 £10,927 £3,111 £8,343
		2018/19 Week 13 – 93.29%	2019/20 Week 13 – 90.74%		

Improve student	Hardship Fund	At this school and across schools nationally, the period from mid-	Many of the pupil premium chosen	£3,681
wellbeing, school		March 2020 until the end of the academic year has been dominated	approaches are longer term and it will	
experiences and	Sports Fixtures	by the outbreak of COVID-19. The much reduced opening of	be necessary and desirable for	£4,444
reward students for		mainstream schools in March, followed by the wider opening of	leaders to continue some of the	
academic success	Behaviour Workers	schools from 1st June 2020, has had a significant impact on the	planned 2019-20 actions into the	£86,989
		ability to implement many of the actions and strategies outlined in	2020-21 academic year and beyond.	
	Mental Health Professional	the Pupil Premium Strategy covering the period 2019-20.	This is in line with the EEF guidance	£17,334
			on Putting Evidence to Work - A	
	Careers Guidance and Support	Consequently, it has not been possible to thoroughly evaluate all	School's Guide to Implementation	
		planned strategies. However, where possible, a review has taken	which states that interventions need	£19,410
		place and provision adapted to support our most disadvantaged	to be implemented effectively and	
		pupils and their families.	over time, allowing for new activities	
			to be embedded and evaluated to	
			create sustainable positive outcomes	
			for disadvantaged learners.	

6. Additional detail			
• In this section you can annex or refer to additional information which you have used to inform the statement above.			